

REMARKS

This is submitted as a full and complete response to the outstanding restriction requirement.

The Examiner has required restriction between Group I, claims 1-74 drawn to a machining station and Group II, claims 75-77 drawn to a method for positioning a workstation. Furthermore, should Group I be elected, a species election was also required.


The applicant herewith elects to continue prosecution on the claims of Group I, namely claims 1-74. With regards to the species, the species as graphically represented by Figure 21 is elected and the claims reading on that species are generic claim 1, claims 2-3, 5-12, 28, 31-33, 34, 38, 41, 45, 46, 49, 52, 59, 62-64, 67 and 69. This election is made without traverse.

Early and favorable action on the merits of the elected claims is courteously awaited. The non-elected claims to the species are retained in this application pending allowance of a generic or subgeneric claim in which case a reasonable number of non-elected species claims, dependent on the allowed claims should go to allowance. The claims to the non-elected method are retained pending decision to file a divisional application.

Respectfully submitted,

JACOBSON HOLMAN PLLC

By:


John C. Holman
Registration No. 22,769

400 Seventh Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20004
(202) 638-6666
Atty. Dkt. No.: P69007US0
Date: August 1, 2005